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...for I cannot satisfy myself that, when one is added to one, the one to which the addition is made becomes two, or that the two units added together make two by reason of the addition. I cannot understand how, when separated from the other, each of them was one and not two, and now, when they are brought together, the mere juxtaposition or meeting of them should be the cause of their becoming two: neither can I understand how the division of one is the way to make two; for then a different cause would produce the same effect,—as in the former instance the addition and juxtaposition of one to one was the cause of two, in this the separation and subtraction of one from the other would be the cause. Nor am I any longer satisfied that I understand the reason why one or anything else is either generated or destroyed or is at all, but I have in my mind some confused notion of a new method... (Socrates in Plato’s Phaedo)
Instead of an Introduction: $d(A_n), d(S_n)$

**Definition**
The rank of an algebraic structure is the smallest number of generators needed to generate $A$; it is denoted $d(A)$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$G$</th>
<th>$d(G)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$S_5$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_5$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_5 \times S_5$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_5 \times A_5$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_5 \times S_5 \times S_5$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_5 \times A_5 \times A_5$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Facts**
$d(A_5^{19}) = 2$, $d(A_5^{20}) = 3$. (P. Hall in 1936)
$A, B, A \times B$

$\pi_A : (a, b) \rightarrow a$

$\pi_B : (a, b) \rightarrow b$

$\pi_A : (a, b) \rightarrow a$

Provided $\iota_A : (a, e) \rightarrow (\iota_A)$
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Theorem
Let $G$ and $H$ be two groups, and let $\mathcal{P}$ be any of the following properties: being finite, abelian, soluble, nilpotent, periodic, finitely generated, finitely presented, locally finite, residually finite, ... Then

$$G \times H \text{ satisfies } \mathcal{P} \text{ if and only if both } G \text{ and } H \text{ satisfy } \mathcal{P}.$$ 

Proof (for finite generation)
$(\Rightarrow)$ $G$ and $H$ are homomorphic images of $G \times H$.
$(\Leftarrow)$ $G \times H$ is generated by the natural copies of $G$ and $H$ inside it.

Remark
This works for monoids.
Growth of Direct Powers

**Corollary**

*For any monoids* $M$, $N$ *we have*

$$\max(d(M), d(N)) \leq d(M \times N) \leq d(M) + d(N).$$

**Definition**

$d(A) = (d(A), d(A^2), d(A^3), \ldots).$

**Corollary**

*For a monoid* $M$ *we have*

$$d(M) \leq d(M^n) \leq nd(M).$$

**Theorem**

For a finite group $G$, the sequence $d(G)$ is

- logarithmic if $G$ is perfect;
- eventually linear if $G$ is non-perfect.
Growth Sequences: Infinite Groups

Theorem
For an infinite group $G$, the sequence $d(G)$ is

- eventually constant, if $G$ is simple;
- either eventually constant or logarithmic if $G$ is perfect and non-simple;
- eventually linear if $G$ is non-perfect.

Question
Does there exist an infinite simple group $G$ such that $d(G^n) = d(G) + 1$?

Question
If a perfect group $G$ has no finite (non-trivial) images, is $d(G)$ necessarily eventually constant?
Growth Sequences: Finite Semigroups

Theorem
For a finite semigroup $S$, the sequence $d(S)$ is

- eventually linear if $S$ is a (non-group) monoid;
- asymptotically exponential if $S$ is not a monoid.

Question
In the non-monoid case, is $d(S)$ eventually exponential?
Does there exist a (non-group) monoid with an eventually constant growth sequence?

Suppose we have a finitely generated monoid $M = \langle A \rangle$ such that for every $k \geq 1$ there exists a $k$-tuple $(a_1, \ldots, a_k) \in M^k$ such that

$$\{(a_1m, \ldots, a_km) : m \in M\} = M^k.$$

Let $\overline{M}$ be the diagonal copy of $M$ in $M^k$:

$$\overline{M} = \{(m, \ldots, m) : m \in M\} \cong M.$$

Then $M^k = \{(a_1, \ldots, a_k)\overline{M}$. So: $d(M^k) \leq d(M) + 1$.

Does such a monoid exist?
Diagonal Acts

$T_N$ – the full transformation monoid – all mappings $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$.

**Proposition**

*For every $k \geq 1$ there exist $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in T_N$ such that*

$$T_N \times \ldots T_N = (a_1, \ldots, a_k) T_N.$$  

**Remark**

$T_N$ is not finitely generated :-(

**Proposition**

*The monoid $R$ of all recursive functions $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is finitely generated, and also has the above property.*

**Remark**

Neither $T_N$ nor $R$ are congruence-free.
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Take a countable set $X$, and let $Y_1$ and $Y_2$ be two disjoint countable subsets of $X$.

Let $\beta_i : X \rightarrow Y_i$ be a bijection, and let $\gamma_i : Y_i \rightarrow X$ be its inverse.

Let $P_2 = \langle \beta_1, \gamma_1, \beta_2, \gamma_2 \rangle$; this is the polycyclic monoid of rank 2.

$P_2 = \langle b_i, c_i \mid b_i c_i = 1, \ b_i c_j = 0 \ (i, j = 1, 2, \ i \neq j) \rangle$.

**Fact**

$P_2$ is a congruence free monoid.

**Theorem**

$d(P_2) = (3, 5, 7, 9, \ldots)$.
Growth: Some Questions

▶ Does there exist a (non-group) monoid $M$ such that $d(M)$ is logarithmic?
▶ Does there exist a (non-monoid) semigroup with a linear or constant growth?
▶ Do there exist semigroups/monoids of intermediate growth?
Finite Generation: Semigroups

Theorem

Let $M$, $N$ be monoids. $M \times N$ is finitely generated if and only if $M$ and $N$ are finitely generated.

Example

Consider the additive semigroup $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ ($0 \notin \mathbb{N}$). Note:

$(1, n) \neq (a, b) + (c, d)$. We say that $(1, n)$ is indecomposable. So, 

\{(1, n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} is contained in every generating set.
Theorem (EF Robertson, NR, J. Wiegold)

Let $S$, $T$ be infinite semigroups. $S \times T$ is finitely generated if and only if

(i) $S$ and $T$ are finitely generated; and

(ii) neither $S$ nor $T$ have indecomposable elements.

Theorem

Let $S$, $T$ be semigroups, with $S$ infinite, $T$ finite. $S \times T$ is finitely generated if and only if

(i) $S$ is finitely generated; and

(ii) $T$ has no indecomposable elements.
Finite Presentability

Theorem
Let $M, N$ be monoids. $M \times N$ is finitely presented if and only if $M$ and $N$ are finitely presented.

Example
$\mathbb{N}$ is finitely presented (in fact free), but $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is not finitely presented.

Question
Will $S \times T$ be finitely presented provided $S$ and $T$ are finitely presented and $S \times T$ is finitely generated?
Critical Pairs and Stability

$S$ – a semigroup; $\langle A| R \rangle$ a finite presentation for it.

Fact
Two words $u, v$ over $A$ are equal in $S$ if and only if there is a sequences of applications of relations from $R$ (a deduction) which transforms $u$ into $v$.

Definition
A pair $(u, v)$ of words is critical if every deduction from $u$ to $v$ contains a word of length smaller than $\min(|u|, |v|)$.

Definition
$S$ is said to be stable if it has no critical pairs.

Remark
The above definition of stability is not constructive.
Stability and Finite Presentability

Theorem (EF Robertson, NR, J Wiegold)
Let $S$, $T$ be two infinite semigroups. $S \times T$ is finitely presented if and only if

(i) $S$ and $T$ are (finitely presented) and stable; and
(ii) neither $S$ nor $T$ contain indecomposable elements.

Theorem (EF Robertson, NR, J Wiegold)
Let $S$ be an infinite semigroup, and let $T$ be a finite semigroup. $S \times T$ is finitely presented if and only if

(i) $S$ is finitely presented; and
(ii) $T$ is stable and contains no indecomposable elements.
Example (Araujo, NR)

The four element semigroup

\[
\begin{array}{c|cccc}
S & a & b & c & 0 \\
\hline
a & a & a & c & 0 \\
b & b & b & c & 0 \\
c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

is a non-stable semigroup of minimal size. Hence, for example, \( S \times \mathbb{Z} \) is finitely generated but not finitely presented.
Definition
An algebraic structure $A$ is residually finite if for any two $a, b \in A$ ($a \neq b$) there is a homomorphism $f : A \to B$, $B$ finite, such that $f(a) \neq f(b)$.
Equivalently: for any two $a, b \in A$ ($a \neq b$) there exists a congruence $\rho$ with finitely many classes such that $(a, b) \not\in \rho$.
Equivalently: the intersection of all finite index congruences is trivial.
Proposition

If $A$ and $B$ are residually finite then $A \times B$ is residually finite.

Proof
Take $(a, b) \neq (c, d)$. Wlog suppose $a \neq c$. Map:
$A \times B \xrightarrow{\pi_A} A \xrightarrow{f} C$, $C$ finite, $f(a) \neq f(c)$.

Proposition

If both $A$ and $B$ contain idempotents and $A \times B$ is residually finite then $A$ and $B$ are residually finite.

Proof
If $e \in A$ is an idempotent, then $B \cong \{e\} \times B \leq A \times B$. 
Lemma

Let $S$ be a semigroup. If $\mathbb{N} \times S$ is residually finite then $S$ is residually finite.

Proof

Let $a, b \in S$, $a \neq b$.

Let $\rho$ be a congruence on $\mathbb{N} \times S$ with finitely many classes that separates $(2, a)$ and $(2, b)$.

$\mathbb{N} \times S$ naturally splits into levels: $L_i = \{i\} \times S$.

The equivalence relation $\lambda$ with classes $L_1, L_2, L_3 \cup L_4 \cup \ldots$ is a congruence with finitely many classes.

Intersect $\rho$ and $\lambda$ to obtain a congruence $\sigma = \rho \cap \lambda$ which has finitely many classes, respects levels 1 and 2, and separates $(2, a)$ and $(2, b)$. 
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Residual Finiteness: Levels of $\mathbb{N} \times S$

$L_1$

$L_2$

$L_3$
Residual Finiteness: Semigroups

Proof (contd.)

\(\gamma\) – the equivalence on \(S\) corresponding to the partition of level 1.
\(\delta\) – the equivalence on \(S\) corresponding to the partition of level 2.
\(\tau\) – the right congruence generated by \(\gamma\).

Claim: \(\gamma \subseteq \tau \subseteq \delta\).

Proof: \(\tau\) is obtained by taking pairs \((xu, yu), (x, y) \in \gamma, u \in S^1\),
and closing transitively. But

\[(x, y) \in \gamma \implies ((1, x), (1, y)) \in \sigma \implies ((1, x)(1, u), (1, y)(1, u)) \in \sigma \implies ((2, xu), (2, yu)) \in \sigma \implies (xu, yu) \in \delta.\]

Hence: \(\tau\) has finitely many classes, and separates \(a\) and \(b\).

A technicality to pass to a congruence.
Lemma

Let $S$ be a semigroup. If $\mathbb{N} \times S$ is residually finite then $S$ is residually finite.

Theorem (Gray, NR)

Let $S$ and $T$ be semigroups. $S \times T$ is residually finite if and only if $S$ and $T$ are residually finite.

Proof

A semigroup either contains an idempotent or a copy of $\mathbb{N}$ :-)}
Residual Finiteness: Unary Algebras

Example
Consider two unary operations on \( \mathbb{N} \):

Let \( A = (\mathbb{N}, f) \), \( B = (\mathbb{N}, g) \).
Form the direct product $A \times B$:
Lemma

B is not residually finite.

Proof
Suppose \( \rho \) is a congruence with finitely many classes separating 1 and 2.
Let \((m, n) \in \rho, m > n\).
We have

\[
(m, n) \in \rho \Rightarrow (m - 1, n - 1) = (g(m), g(n)) \in \rho
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \ldots \Rightarrow (2, 1) \in \rho,
\]
a contradiction.

Lemma

A is residually finite.
Residual Finiteness: Unary Algebras

Lemma

\[ A \times B \text{ is residually finite.} \]

Proof
What Next?

A famous open problem: Is it true that $G \times H$ ($G$, $H$ groups) is automatic if and only if $G$ and $H$ are automatic? If not true, a counter-example might be easier to find for monoids/semigroups first.

Other products: wreath product, work in progress with M Quick, M Neunhöffer.
Some More Questions

Question
Investigate the d-sequences of S-acts.
It is known that:
  ► For finite acts, the sequence is exponential.
  ► For cyclic diagonal acts, the sequence is constant.

Question
What other types of growth are possible?